

PROGRESS IN BIOMEDICAL OPTICS AND IMAGING

Vol. 11, No. 20

Design and Performance Validation of Phantoms Used in Conjunction with Optical Measurement of Tissue II

Robert J. Nordstrom

Editor

23 January 2010

San Francisco, California, United States

Sponsored and Published by

SPIE

Volume 7567

Proceedings of SPIE, 1605-7422, v. 7567

SPIE is an international society advancing an interdisciplinary approach to the science and application of light.

The papers included in this volume were part of the technical conference cited on the cover and title page. Papers were selected and subject to review by the editors and conference program committee. Some conference presentations may not be available for publication. The papers published in these proceedings reflect the work and thoughts of the authors and are published herein as submitted. The publisher is not responsible for the validity of the information or for any outcomes resulting from reliance thereon.

Please use the following format to cite material from this book:

Author(s), "Title of Paper," in *Design and Performance Validation of Phantoms Used in Conjunction with Optical Measurement of Tissue II*, edited by Robert J. Nordstrom, Proceedings of SPIE Vol. 7567 (SPIE, Bellingham, WA, 2010) Article CID Number.

ISSN 1605-7422

ISBN 9780819479631

Published by

SPIE

P.O. Box 10, Bellingham, Washington 98227-0010 USA

Telephone +1 360 676 3290 (Pacific Time) · Fax +1 360 647 1445

SPIE.org

Copyright © 2010, Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers.

Copying of material in this book for internal or personal use, or for the internal or personal use of specific clients, beyond the fair use provisions granted by the U.S. Copyright Law is authorized by SPIE subject to payment of copying fees. The Transactional Reporting Service base fee for this volume is \$18.00 per article (or portion thereof), which should be paid directly to the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC), 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Payment may also be made electronically through CCC Online at copyright.com. Other copying for republication, resale, advertising or promotion, or any form of systematic or multiple reproduction of any material in this book is prohibited except with permission in writing from the publisher. The CCC fee code is 1605-7422/10/\$18.00.

Printed in the United States of America.

Publication of record for individual papers is online in the SPIE Digital Library.

The logo for SPIE Digital Library features the word "SPIE" in a bold, sans-serif font above the words "Digital Library" in a smaller, lighter font. To the right of the text is a stylized graphic consisting of three vertical bars of increasing height, resembling a bar chart or a signal waveform.

SPIDigitalLibrary.org

Paper Numbering: Proceedings of SPIE follow an e-First publication model, with papers published first online and then in print and on CD-ROM. Papers are published as they are submitted and meet publication criteria. A unique, consistent, permanent citation identifier (CID) number is assigned to each article at the time of the first publication. Utilization of CIDs allows articles to be fully citable as soon they are published online, and connects the same identifier to all online, print, and electronic versions of the publication. SPIE uses a six-digit CID article numbering system in which:

- The first four digits correspond to the SPIE volume number.
- The last two digits indicate publication order within the volume using a Base 36 numbering system employing both numerals and letters. These two-number sets start with 00, 01, 02, 03, 04, 05, 06, 07, 08, 09, 0A, 0B ... 0Z, followed by 10-1Z, 20-2Z, etc.

The CID number appears on each page of the manuscript. The complete citation is used on the first page, and an abbreviated version on subsequent pages. Numbers in the index correspond to the last two digits of the six-digit CID number.

Contents

- v *Conference Committee*
- vii *Introduction*
- ix *System calibration and phantom validation for quantitative diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (Q-DRS) (Abstract Only) [7567-14]*
J. Q. Brown, B. Yu, N. Ramanujam, Duke Univ. (United States)

SESSION 1 PHANTOMS STANDARDS IN VALIDATION

- 7567 02 **The need for validation standards in medical imaging** [7567-01]
R. J. Nordstrom, National Cancer Institute (United States)
- 7567 03 **Quality control and assurance for validation of DOS/I measurements (Invited Paper)** [7567-02]
A. Cerussi, A. Durkin, R. Kwong, T. Quang, B. Hill, B. J. Tromberg, Univ. of California, Irvine (United States); N. MacKinnon, OneLight Corp. (Canada); W. W. Mantulin, Univ. of California, Irvine (United States)
- 7567 04 **Contrast phantoms for optical coherence tomography** [7567-03]
P. D. Woolliams, P. H. Tomlins, National Physical Lab. (United Kingdom)
- 7567 05 **Characterizing deep optical-sectioning microscopy performance with scattering phantoms and numerical simulations** [7567-04]
J. T. C. Liu, M. J. Mandella, Stanford Univ. School of Medicine (United States); G. S. Kino, Stanford Univ. (United States); C. H. Contag, Stanford Univ. School of Medicine (United States)

SESSION 2 PHANTOM CONSTRUCTION AND USES I

- 7567 06 **Multilayer silicone phantoms for the evaluation of quantitative optical techniques in skin imaging** [7567-05]
R. B. Saager, C. Kondru, K. Au, K. Sry, F. Ayers, A. J. Durkin, Beckman Laser Institute, Univ. of California, Irvine (United States)
- 7567 07 **Development of an autofluorescent probe for brain cancer: probe characterization thanks to phantom studies** [7567-06]
B. Leh, Lab. IMNC, CNRS, Univ. Paris-Sud 11 (France); Y. Charon, Lab. IMNC, CNRS, Univ. Paris-Sud 11 (France) and Univ. Paris 7 (France); M.-A. Duval, Lab. IMNC, CNRS, Univ. Paris-Sud 11 (France) and Univ. d'Evry (France); F. Lefebvre, S. Linden, Lab. IMNC, CNRS, Univ. Paris-Sud 11 (United States); L. Menard, Lab. IMNC, CNRS, Univ. Paris-Sud 11 (France) and Univ. Paris 7 (France); R. Siebert, Lab. IMNC, CNRS, Univ. Paris-Sud 11 (France)

7567 09 **Monte Carlo simulations combined with experimental measurements: a new possibility of study of the light distribution in fat emulsions** [7567-08]
A. L. O. Ramos, M. V. P. Souza, M. T. Saito, A. C. Magalhães, M. C. Chavantes,
E. M. Yoshimura, Univ. de São Paulo (Brazil)

7567 0A **Uncertainty analysis of time resolved transmittance characterization of solid tissue phantoms** [7567-09]
J.-P. Bouchard, I. Veilleux, I. Noiseux, S. Leclair, R. Jedidi, M. Fortin, O. Mermut, INO (Canada)

SESSION 4 PHANTOM CONSTRUCTION AND USES II

7567 0B **Development of optical phantoms for use in fluorescence-based imaging** [7567-10]
I. Noiseux, M. Fortin, S. Leclair, J. Osouf, O. Mermut, INO (Canada)

7567 0C **Lateral scattered light used to study laser light propagation in turbid media phantoms** [7567-11]
C. Valdes, E. Solarte, Univ. del Valle (Colombia)

7567 0D **Polyurethane phantoms with homogeneous and nearly homogeneous optical properties** [7567-12]
V. T. Keränen, Univ. of Oulu (Finland) and Oregon Health & Science Univ. (United States);
A. J. Mäkynen, Univ. of Oulu (Finland); A. L. Dayton, S. A. Prah, Oregon Health & Science Univ. (United States)

SESSION 5 DYNAMIC AND MULTIMODAL PHANTOMS

7567 0H **Design of a multimodality breast-like phantom for combined diffuse optical tomography and ultrasound tomography (DOT-UST)** [7567-16]
M. Ghijsen, B. Unlu, O. Nalcioglu, G. Gulsen, Univ. of California, Irvine (United States)

7567 0I **Multilayer tubular phantoms for optical coherence tomography** [7567-17]
C.-E. Bisailon, G. Campbell, C. de Grandpré, G. Lamouche, National Research Council Canada (Canada)

7567 0J **Design of a dynamic optical tissue phantom to model extravasation pharmacokinetics** [7567-18]
J. Y. Zhang, A. Ergin, K. L. Andken, Boston Univ. (United States); C. Sheng, Brody School of Medicine, East Carolina Univ. (United States); I. J. Bigio, Boston Univ. (United States)

7567 0K **Developing multifunctional tissue simulating phantoms for quantitative biomedical optical imaging** [7567-19]
R. Xu, J. Xu, R. Qin, J. Huang, The Ohio State Univ. (United States)

7567 0L **Fabricating multifunctional microbubbles and nanobubbles for concurrent ultrasound and photoacoustic imaging** [7567-20]
R. Qin, J. Xu, R. Xu, The Ohio State Univ. (United States); C. Kim, L. V. Wang, Washington Univ. in St. Louis (United States)

Author Index

Conference Committee

Symposium Chairs

James G. Fujimoto, Massachusetts Institute of Technology (United States)

R. Rox Anderson, Wellman Center for Photomedicine, Massachusetts General Hospital (United States), and Harvard School of Medicine (United States)

Program Track Chairs

Steven L. Jacques, Oregon Health & Science University (United States)

William P. Roach, Air Force Research Laboratory (United States)

Conference Chair

Robert J. Nordstrom, National Institutes of Health (United States)

Program Committee

Anant Agrawal, U.S. Food and Drug Administration (United States)

Gerald T. Fraser, National Institute of Standards and Technology (United States)

William W. Mantulin, University of California, Irvine (United States)

Brian W. Pogue, Dartmouth College (United States)

Scott Prahl, Providence St. Vincent Medical Center (United States)

Lihong V. Wang, Washington University in St. Louis (United States)

Session Chairs

- 1 Phantoms Standards in Validation
David Allen, National Institute of Standards and Technology (United States)
- 2 Phantom Construction and Uses I
Brian W. Pogue, Dartmouth College (United States)
Robert J. Nordstrom, National Institutes of Health (United States)
- 3 Phantom Construction and Uses II
Robert J. Nordstrom, National Institutes of Health (United States)
Anant Agrawal, U.S. Food and Drug Administration (United States)
- 4 Dynamic and Multimodal Phantoms
William W. Mantulin, University of California, Irvine (United States)
Anant Agrawal, U.S. Food and Drug Administration (United States)

Introduction

This was the second conference on this subject to be held at the annual SPIE Photonics West BiOS Symposium. The first was two years ago (2008). As with the first meeting, this conference demonstrated that phantoms for optical devices are used for a variety of different measurements. For the most part, phantoms remain tools that are fabricated in individual laboratories to perform specific measurements during development or testing of optical devices. A noted exception to this, however, was the information presented by the group from the Institut National d'Optique (INO) in Canada. The group is commercializing fluorescent phantoms in polyurethane. This represents an encouraging move from individually constructed phantoms to commercial product.

So long as optical devices are being constructed and tested as one-of-a-kind instruments in laboratories and local clinical settings, the quality control defining the fabrication of the phantoms associated with the device can be low, without jeopardizing the results obtained by the device. However, when multi-site trials are undertaken, the necessity for several phantoms, each with well characterized and controlled performance characteristics is needed. The invited speaker, Dr. William Mantulin from the Beckman Laser Institute, spoke about the need for QC/QA to create success in providing "data insurance" when multiple devices are used. To quote Dr. Mantulin, "The QC/QA involves systematic assessment of testing materials, instrument performance, standard operating procedures, data logging, analysis, and reporting." This quality control starts with quality control of the phantoms that then provide a level of quality control for the multiple optical devices.

The conference had an exciting international component with seven of the twenty papers coming from outside the United States. These covered a number of different construction concepts and uses. Multi-layer phantoms and phantoms with known inclusions to simulate heterogeneities were presented. Skin simulation imaging and brain tumor simulations were prominent in this conference.

As optical devices progress down the pathway of translational research, issues of standardization and performance validation will have to be addressed. Phantoms will be an important part of that process. Phantoms will be used for both verification and validation. During verification, the investigator is questioning whether the device has been constructed to meet the design specification set forth at the beginning of the project. That is, verification is answering the question "Was the system made correctly?" Validation, on the other hand, is the process of testing if the device makes the intended measurement. That is, "Was the correct system constructed?" These are two

very different questions, and they imply very different levels of phantom construction and quality control. For verification, a number of simple targets can be used, so long as issues such as lifetime, batch homogeneity, and other physical issues are understood. For validation, however, more sophisticated phantoms will be needed to more closely mimic the tissue for which the optical device was designed to interrogate. These will be the challenges for those who create phantoms in the near future.

Robert J. Nordstrom