Early diagnosis of teeth erosion using polarized laser speckle imaging

Christelle Abou Nader
Fabrice Pellen
Hadi Loutfi
Rassoul Mansour
Bernard Le Jeune
Guy Le Brun
Marie Abboud

Early diagnosis of teeth erosion using polarized laser speckle imaging

Christelle Abou Nader,[a,b,*] Fabrice Pellen,[b] Hadi Loutfi,[a] Rassoul Mansour,[a] Bernard Le Jeune,[b] Guy Le Brun,[b] and Marie Abboud[a]

[a]Saint Joseph University, Physics Department, Faculty of Science, UR TVA, B.P. 11-514-Riad El Solh, Beirut 1107 2050, Lebanon
[b]Université de Bretagne Occidentale, UEB, EA 938 Laboratoire de Spectrométrie et Optique Laser, IBSAM, 6 avenue le Gorgeu, C.S. 93837, 29238 Brest Cedex 3, France

Abstract. Dental erosion starts with a chemical attack on dental tissue causing tooth demineralization, altering the tooth structure and making it more sensitive to mechanical erosion. Medical diagnosis of dental erosion is commonly achieved through a visual inspection by the dentist during dental checkups and is therefore highly dependent on the operator's experience. The detection of this disease at preliminary stages is important since, once the damage is done, cares become more complicated. We investigate the difference in light-scattering properties between healthy and eroded teeth. A change in light-scattering properties is observed and a transition from volume to surface backscattering is detected by means of polarized laser speckle imaging as teeth undergo acid etching, suggesting an increase in enamel surface roughness. © *2015 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE)* [DOI: 10.1117/1.JBO.21.7.071103]

1 Introduction

Tooth enamel is a highly mineralized tissue. It is constituted of inorganic material (96%), an organic matrix (enamel proteins, enamelin, and lipids, 3%), and water (1%); this high mineral content gives it strength and hardness. The enamel is a very compact structure demonstrating a low permeability. Tooth erosion and tooth decay are the most commonly encountered problems when it comes to oral health. Due to acid exposure (pH < 5.5),[1] the enamel is subject to demineralization (loss of minerals) causing dental erosion. This process is defined as the loss of tooth substance by acid exposure not involving bacteria.[2] Acidic drink or food intake causes dental erosion that starts with enamel surface softening and progressive tissue loss, making the enamel more susceptible to abrasive wear.[3]

Tooth decay occurs due to bacterial acid, differently from the erosion process. In fact, certain bacteria adhere to the tooth surface in bacterial communities known as dental plaque, producing acid that causes the demineralization and cavities. The first clinical sign indicating a tooth cavity is the white spot lesion that is characterized by a chalky white appearance. The manifestation of such spots on the tooth surface is a sign of their demineralization. During the demineralization process, calcium and phosphate are dissolved from the enamel and dentin and are lost in the mouth via saliva or plaque. The white spot lesion is thus characterized by dissolution of hard tissues of the subsurface layer.

However, it is possible to reverse the demineralization process by acting quickly on these lesions, stimulating and guiding a remineralization process. In fact, even though teeth can naturally remineralize themselves if the ions of phosphorus and calcium remaining in saliva are redeposited, this process cannot persist when there is a change in biological factors. Therefore, various technologies have been developed to prevent the progress of demineralization by delivering calcium, phosphate, and fluoride.[4] Patients are strongly advised to use toothpastes containing amorphous calcium phosphate[5] or calcium sodium phosphosilicate,[6] and mouth rinses supersaturated in soluble calcium and phosphate ions.[7] Thus, the sooner tooth erosion and decay are detected, the simpler it is to fix the damage before the onset of serious lesions requiring destructive care for teeth.

Multiple methods can be used to detect and study the erosion of enamel. Some rely on chemical analysis of dissolved minerals such as calcium analysis using an ion-selective electrode.[4] Although these techniques have been used *in vivo*,[8] they do not give any morphological information and are not sensitive to possible mineral gain. High-cost and destructive methods such as surface profilometry[9] and microradiography[10] are also used for the detection and quantification of mineral loss due to acid erosion.

As alternative methods and due to their relatively affordable price and noninvasive nature, optical methods are being investigated and considered for the detection and quantification of dental erosion. Many methods based on the change in optical properties between healthy, demineralized, eroded, and carious teeth have been proposed for this purpose.[2,11–15] Quantitative light-induced fluorescence,[3] laser-induced fluorescence (which is the basis of the "diagnodent" device),[16] or transillumination with near-infrared light[17] have been used to detect and quantify the presence of lesions in subsurface enamel. Indeed, the demineralization process is accompanied by a change in the tooth scattering coefficient,[12,13] in the ability of enamel and dentine to depolarize incident light,[18] and in the spectral response of teeth. In fact, increased scattering coefficients and ability to depolarize light, as well as a loss in autofluorescence, are

*Address all correspondence to: Christelle Abou Nader, E-mail: krystel.a.n@live.com

demonstrated in the case of enamel lesions. Optical coherence tomography has also demonstrated its capacity to generate surface and subsurface images of enamel samples using near-infrared light[19] and to provide precise information.

Speckle imaging has emerged over the past decade as a powerful yet low-cost technique for imaging biological media. In addition to its accessibility and simplicity, this method allows *in vivo* measurements and is not restricted to clinical research in laboratories. It has previously been considered as a tool for assessing dental erosion, where Koshoji et al.[20] showed the sensitivity of speckle image contrast ratios toward the degree of dental erosion in early stages after exposure to erosive acidic beverages using coherent visible light. Studies were also undertaken using light polarization to evaluate surface and subsurface structures.[21] For instance, Everett et al.[18] were able to identify precarious and carious lesions by detecting the change of polarization of incident light backscattered from dental tissues. Both speckle and polarized light imaging were proven to be sensitive for the discrimination of surface and bulk-scattering.[22,23]

In this study, we report and prove the capacity of polarized laser speckle imaging to detect early demineralization stages, even before the appearance of visible signs that an experienced dentist can spot while performing a checkup. Information given by speckle images is combined with the ones provided by polarization, making it a sensitive technique for the detection of early dental erosion stages.

2 Experimental Study

2.1 Sample Handling

Five human molars extracted for orthodontic reasons and showing no signs of visible erosion or decay after a detailed visual examination by experienced dentists were used in our study. The teeth were exposed to an acidic beverage [noncaffeinated soft drink (7-Up), pH = 3.4] during different immersion cycles, day after day for 2 weeks. Before exposure to the acidic beverage, the tooth surface had not been treated. When taken out of the acidic solution, the teeth were washed with mineral water and dried before speckle measurements were performed on both sides of each tooth. Teeth were preserved in a humid environment at room temperature after each experiment. During the period of our study, the samples did not undergo sufficient demineralization (see Fig. 1) for detection to become possible by frequently used conventional methods, such as x-ray measurements, and no white spot lesions were visible to trained dentists.

2.2 Speckle Experimental Setup

The experimental setup is presented in Fig. 2. A green He–Ne laser (543 nm, 5 mW) is shot through a polarizer and onto the sample. The backscattered light then goes through an analyzer where it is collected by a CMOS camera (Photon Focus, pixel size of $8~\mu m \times 8~\mu m$, 12 bits) in the $\theta = 20$ deg direction. Speckle images of the samples were acquired at 10 ms exposure time.

2.3 Extracted Parameters

In the analysis of speckle patterns, both spatial and temporal aspects coupled to polarimetric measurements were investigated.

Fig. 1 Photo of a tooth sample at the end of the study after cumulative immersion in acidic beverage for 660 min.

Fig. 2 Overview of the speckle experimental setup.

The changes taking place in the teeth during the demineralization are accompanied by a change in their ability to depolarize incident light. In order to detect this variation, one of the parameters studied is the extinction ratio, defined in previous studies[18] as follows:

$$\text{ER} = \frac{I_\perp}{I_{//}}. \tag{1}$$

ER ranges between 0 and 1; an ER value of 1 indicates a total loss of incident polarization, whereas ER = 0 reflects a complete preservation of the incident polarization. Considering our image collection method, $I_{//}$ is the mean intensity measured at the camera surface when the polarization of the incident light is fully transmitted, and $I_\perp$ is the mean intensity when the transmitted light results from a cross-linear polarization.

We also define the degree of linear polarization given by

$$\mathrm{DOP_L} = \frac{I_{//} - I_\perp}{I_{//} + I_\perp}, \tag{2}$$

where $\mathrm{DOP_L} = 0$ indicates a total loss of the initial polarization state and $\mathrm{DOP_L} = 1$ shows a preservation of the initial polarization state.

Teeth surface erosion was also monitored previously[20] using laser contrast analysis (LASCA). The average contrast of the speckle image is given by

$$C = \frac{\sigma}{\langle I \rangle}, \tag{3}$$

where $\langle I \rangle$ is the speckle image mean intensity and σ is its standard deviation. The contrast ratio CR is defined by

$$\mathrm{CR} = 1 - \frac{\langle C_{\mathrm{Healthy}} \rangle}{\langle C_{\mathrm{Eroded}} \rangle}, \tag{4}$$

with $\langle C_{\mathrm{Healthy}} \rangle$ standing for the contrast of the acquired image on a healthy tooth surface and $\langle C_{\mathrm{Eroded}} \rangle$ on an eroded one.

Another parameter of interest in our study is the average speckle grain size dx, estimated by the width at half maximum of a horizontal cut taken from the speckle image autocorrelation function. This function is calculated by computing the inverse Fourier transform of the normalized power spectral density of the diffusing zone that is the square of the modulus of the Fourier transform of the intensity. The autocorrelation function is given by

$$c(x,y) = \frac{\mathrm{FT}^{-1}\{|\mathrm{FT}[I(x,y)]|^2\} - \langle I(x,y) \rangle^2}{\langle I(x,y)^2 \rangle - \langle I(x,y) \rangle^2}. \tag{5}$$

Fig. 3 Variation of the extinction ratio as a function of duration of tooth exposure to acid (in minutes). Error bars correspond to the standard deviation. Circles correspond to experimental data. Lines are guides for the eyes.

The differences between linearly co- and cross-polarized speckle grains sizes Δdx_L and Δdy_L[24] were also computed as follows:

$$\Delta d_{..L} = d_{..L}^{//} - d_{..L}^\perp. \tag{6}$$

The two dots in Eq. (6) can be replaced by x or y, referring to the horizontal and vertical axes, respectively.

Similarly to the LASCA technique, temporal correlation of speckle images provides information about speckle dynamics. A series of the acquired speckle images was also temporally analyzed using a cross-correlation analysis of the first speckle image with the image number k as follows:[25]

$$C(t,x,y) = \frac{\langle I(t_0,x_0,y_0)I(t,x,y) \rangle - \langle I(t_0,x_0,y_0) \rangle \langle I(t,x,y) \rangle}{\{[\langle I^2(t_0,x_0,y_0) \rangle - \langle I(t_0,x_0,y_0) \rangle^2][\langle I^2(t,x,y) \rangle - \langle I(t,x,y) \rangle^2]\}^{1/2}}, \tag{7}$$

where the time $t = k\Delta t$, Δt is the time step, $(x,y) = (m\Delta r, n\Delta r)$, m and n are the pixel positions in the image, and Δr is the pixel size.

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Polarimetry Results

The information carried by light polarization is deduced directly from mean intensities of images recorded using different light polarizations. The ratio of cross- versus copolarized light scattered [Eq. (1)] from the enamel is plotted in Fig. 3. A decrease in the ER is observed as the tooth undergoes demineralization.

Figure 4 represents the variation of the $\mathrm{DOP_L}$ parameter as teeth undergo a cumulative acid attack. As defined in Eq. (2), $\mathrm{DOP_L}$ indicates the depolarization ability of the imaged medium. In fact, both $\mathrm{DOP_L}$ and ER demonstrate sensitivity to structural alteration of the tooth surfaces. The strong depolarization, or in other terms the loss of initial polarization before any acid attack (ER = 1 and $\mathrm{DOP_L} = 0$), can be explained by the predominance of a volume backscattering contribution from

subsurface layers of the tooth. Indeed, before the acid attack, tooth surfaces do not scatter highly; therefore, the volume diffusion is essentially captured. Inversely, as teeth become eroded due to an acid attack, the surface degradation leads to more scattering events by the enamel surface, thus less loss of initial polarization. A brutal change of slopes in our curves (as indicated by the eye-guides in Figs. 3 and 4) at around 70 min of acid exposure is observed, indicating that the surface scattering contribution overcomes the volume scattering contribution. These interpretations are supported in the next section by information provided by the analysis of spatial and temporal aspects of speckle images.

3.2 Polarized Speckle Results

In this section, we consider the effects of the duration of tooth exposure to acid on first- and second-order statistics parameters and associate the variations to the surface roughness based on results reported in Ref. 26. The measured values of the contrast ratio (CR) defined in Eq. (4) are presented in Fig. 5. As the

Fig. 4 Variation of linear degree of polarization as a function of duration of tooth exposure to acid (in minutes). Error bars correspond to the standard deviation. Circles correspond to experimental data. Lines are guides for the eyes.

duration of tooth exposure to acid increased, variations in the image contrast occur; the contrast is larger in the case of eroded enamels. This behavior was also previously measured in Ref. 20. Furthermore, the change of the CR curve slope at around 70 min of acid exposure is similar to the one observed in the curves representing the variations of the extinction ratio and the linear degree of polarization. Similarly, this parameter is connected to tooth surfaces presenting roughness,[26] and therefore to the transition from volume to surface scattering.

Moreover, when considering second-order statistics of speckle images, the size of the speckle grains can provide information about surface roughness and diffusion depth as dx and dy are inversely proportional to the diameter of the diffusing area.[27] Thus photons backscattered by the surface, and producing a smaller diffusion spot than the ones penetrating more deeply, lead to larger speckle sizes. The use of polarized light for the computation of dx and dy allows a better understanding of these parameters. The speckle grain size differences Δdx_L

Fig. 6 Variation of the vertical and horizontal speckle grain size differences as a function of duration of tooth exposure to acid (in minutes).

and Δdy_L are plotted in Fig. 6. Curves represent results collected from one tooth due to the large variability in results caused by the unknown history of the teeth, essentially in terms of oral health hygiene and tooth structure that differs from one person to another. However, for all monitored teeth, similar trends were observed as teeth undergo demineralization due to acid exposure. Δdx_L and Δdy_L change with comparable orders of magnitude for all the monitored teeth. Both parameters are larger for teeth with extended acid exposure durations. This behavior was previously observed in situations where surface backscattering is increasing contrary to volume backscattering.[27]

A temporal analysis tracking the time varying correlation of speckle images was also explored on dried teeth. Figure 7 shows the correlation coefficient temporal evolution $C(t)$ for teeth undergoing different acid exposure times. The different curves show the same behavior revealed by the parameters presented previously. Decorrelation is reduced with the increase of teeth acid exposure. $C(t)$ curves provide information about the penetration depth of backscattered light reaching the CMOS detector; an increase in surface roughness, as previously


Fig. 5 Variation of speckle contrast ratio (CR) as a function of duration of tooth exposure to acid (in minutes). Error bars correspond to the standard deviation. $CR_{L//}$ and $CR_{L\perp}$ are related to the linear co- and cross-polarized analysis configuration, respectively. Symbols correspond to experimental data. Lines are guides for the eyes.

Fig. 7 Variation of the temporal speckle images correlation as a function of time (in seconds) for different durations of tooth exposure to acid (in minutes).

mentioned, increases the number of shallow backscattered photons, resulting in less depolarization and a correlation coefficient closer to one.

4 Conclusion

We have demonstrated in an *ex-vivo* study the sensitivity of polarized speckle imaging in the detection of early dental demineralization stages. Information carried by polarized speckle images indicated a transition from volume to surface backscattering before the appearance of visible erosion signs. The described imaging method is a noncontact and simply implemented tool that will not induce any tooth damage. Its low cost makes it affordable for clinical use. This work should be continued by increasing the number of teeth studied and by using standard techniques to examine structural changes induced on the tooth surface by acid attack. Numerous applications in the clinical field can also be considered, such as the evaluation of dental restoration through remineralization or the evaluation of food and beverage impacts on teeth.

Acknowledgments

References

1. J. Hicks, F. Garcia-Godoy, and C. Flaitz, "Biological factors in dental caries enamel structure and the caries process in the dynamic process of demineralization and remineralization (part 2)," *J. Clin. Pediatr. Dent.* **28**(2), 119–124 (2005).
2. A. Baumgartner et al., "Optical coherence tomography of dental structures," *Proc. SPIE* **3248**, 130–136 (1998).
3. G. M. Correr et al., "In vitro wear of primary and permanent enamel. Simultaneous erosion and abrasion," *Am. J. Dent.* **20**(6), 394–399 (2007).
4. A. T. Hara, R. L. Karlinsey, and D. T. Zero, "Dentine remineralisation by simulated saliva formulations with different Ca and P in contents," *Caries Res.* **42**, 51–56 (2008).
5. A. Papas et al., "Caries clinical trial of a remineralizing toothpaste in radiation patients," *Gerodontology* **25**(2), 76–88 (2008).
6. A. K. Burwell, L. J. Likowski, and D. C. Greenspan, "Calcium sodium phosphosilicate (Novamin®) remineralization potential," *Adv. Dental Res.* **21**(1), 35–39 (2009).
7. M. L. Singh and A. S. Papas, "Long term clinical observation of dental caries in salivary hypofunction patients using supersaturated calcium phosphate remineralizing rinse," *J. Clin. Dent.* **20**(3), 87–92 (2009).
8. A. Young et al., "Effect of toothpaste on erosion-like lesions: an in vivo study," *Eur. J. Oral. Sci.* **114**(3), 180–183 (2006).
9. J. Field, P. Waterhouse, and M. German, "Quantifying and qualifying surface changes on dental hard tissues in vitro," *J. Dent.* **38**(3), 182–190 (2010).
10. A. F. Hall et al., "Application of transverse microradiography for measurement of mineral loss by acid erosion," *Adv. Dent. Res.* **11**(4), 420–425 (1997).
11. N. Schlueter et al., "Methods for the measurement and characterization of erosion in enamel and dentine," *Caries Res.* **45**(1), 13–23 (2011).
12. C. C. Ko et al., "Optical scattering characterization of mineral loss," *J. Dent. Res.* **79**(8), 1584–1589 (2000).
13. C. L. Darling, G. D. Huynh, and D. Fried, "Light scattering properties of natural and artificially demineralized dental enamel at 1310 nm," *J. Biomed. Opt.* **11**(3), 034023 (2006).
14. E. Borisova, T. Uzunov, and L. Avramov, "Laser-induced autofluorescence study of caries model in vitro," *Lasers Med. Sci.* **21**(1), 34–41 (2006).
15. C. M. Zakian et al., "Occlusal caries detection by using thermal imaging," *J. Dent.* **38**(10), 788–795 (2010).
16. A. Lussi, R. Hibst, and R. Paulus, "DIAGNOdent: an optical method for caries detection," *J. Dent. Res.* **83**(1), C80–C83 (2004).
17. R. S. Jones et al., "Nearinfrared transillumination at 1310-nm for the imaging of early dental decay," *Opt. Express* **11**(18), 2259–2265 (2003).
18. M. J. Everett et al., "Optical detection dental disease using polarized light," U.S. Patent No. 6522407 B2 (2003).
19. C. H. Wilder-Smith et al., "Quantification of dental erosions in patients with GERD using optical coherence tomography before and after double-blind, randomized treatment with esomeprazole or placebo," *Am. J. Gastroenterol.* **104**(11), 2788–2795 (2009).
20. N. H. Koshoji et al., "Laser speckle imaging: a novel method for detecting dental erosion," *PLoS One* **10**(2), e0118429 (2015).
21. S. L. Jacques, J. C. Ramella-Roman, and K. Lee, "Imaging skin pathology with polarized light," *J. Biomed. Opt.* **7**(3), 329–340 (2002).
22. J. Sorrentini, M. Zerrad, and C. Amra, "Statistical signatures of random media and their correlation to polarization properties," *Opt. Lett.* **34**(16), 2429–2431 (2009).
23. A. Ghabbach et al., "Depolarization and enpolarization DOP histograms measured for surface and bulk speckle patterns," *Opt. Express* **22**(18), 21427–21440 (2014).
24. C. Abou Nader et al., "Influence of size, proportion, and absorption coefficient of spherical scatterers on the degree of light polarization and the grain size of speckle pattern," *Appl. Opt.* **54**(35), 10369–10375 (2015).
25. R. Nassif et al., "Retrieving controlled motion parameters using two laser speckle pattern analysis techniques: spatiotemporal correlation and the temporal history speckle pattern," *Appl. Opt.* **52**(31), 7564–7569 (2013).
26. U. Persson, "Real time measurement of surface roughness on ground surfaces using laser speckle contrast technique," *Opt. Laser Eng.* **17**, 61–67 (1992).
27. R. Nassif et al., "Scattering through fruits during ripening: laser speckle technique correlated to biochemical and fluorescence measurements," *Opt. Express* **20**(21), 23887–23897 (2012).

Christelle Abou Nader earned her master's degree in sensors and instrumentation from University of Western Brittany (UBO), France, and Saint-Joseph University (USJ), Lebanon in 2013. She is currently pursuing her PhD research in Laser Speckle Imaging at UBO and USJ. Her studies cover the field of optical imaging of diffusing media, more specifically polarized light and speckle imaging, for the noninvasive diagnosis of early disease stages and biomedical applications.

Fabrice Pellen received his PhD in optics from the University of Brest, France, in 2000. From 2002 to 2004, he was a researcher at the Laboratory E3I2 (EA3876), at ENSTA Brest, working on signal processing and radar images. He is currently an associate professor at the University of Brest, France, working in the LSOL Laboratory. His research focusses on optics in scattering media, polarimetry, speckle, biophotonics, and lidar systems for underwater target detection.

Hadi Loutfi earned his bachelor degree in physics from Saint-Joseph University, Beirut, Lebanon in 2015. He is currently preparing his master's degree in sensors and instrumentation in the same university.

Rassoul Mansour earned his bachelor degree in physics from Saint-Joseph University, Beirut, Lebanon in 2015. He is currently preparing his master's degree in sensors and instrumentation in the same university.

Bernard Le Jeune is curently a professor at Spectrometry and Laser Optics Laboratory at Université de Bretagne Occidentale in Brest, France. He received his PhD in marine optics in 1990 at the Université de Bretagne Occidentale. His current research concerns optical metrology in scattering media, and more particularly polarimetric and coherent aspects in biophotonics and marine environment.

Guy Le Brun received his PhD in electronics from Laboratoire de Spectrométrie et Optique Laser (LSOL) at Université de Bretagne Occidentale (UBO), Brest, France in 1992. From this date, he works in the permanent staff of the LSOL as Maître de

Conférences. His current areas of research interest include light-tissue interactions, laser speckle, and polarimetry.

Marie Abboud received her PhD in atomic physics from Laboratoire Kastler Brossel at Ecole Normale Supérieure and Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, France in 2005. From 2005 to 2013, she worked as an assistant professor and since 2013 as an associate professor at the Faculty of Science at Saint-Joseph University, Beirut, Lebanon, specializing on biophotonics and specifically on optical imaging of diffusing media. In 2009, she received the UNESCO-L'Oréal "For Women In Science" prize.